PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

6.1 Introduction

This element discusses several issues related to implementation of the Port Everglades Master Plan, presents the 5-Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP), and identifies estimated costs of the entire 20-Year Vision Plan.

6.2 Bulkhead Conditions

While this Plan was being developed, Port Everglades engaged an engineering firm to investigate the implementation of toe wall extensions to the existing bulkheads to provide the increased water depths envisioned at the various berths by the ACOE Feasibility Study/Environmental Impact Statement. A July 2007 memorandum to Port Everglades from the engineering firm recommended that "all bulkheads 40 years of age should be replaced within the 20-year planning horizon." This recommendation, along with the Port's senior staff's review of the draft engineering report, concurred that the bulkheads from Berth 1 through Berth 29 are likely to need replacement by 2026, the 20-year planning horizon. For reference, in the narrative below, Figure 6.2-1 -- also included as Figure 5.4-1 in Element 5 -- shows the Port's berths by number.

Bulkhead replacement scheduling is dependant on the results of future inspection programs and the bulkhead conditions at the time of the inspection. Where increased water depth is identified by the ACOE Feasibility Study/Environmental Impact Statement, the engineering report did, however, recommend replacing the bulkheads prior to dredging at the affected berths. Berths 30 through 33, constructed in 1992, will not be 40 years of age by 2026 and the Plan assumes these bulkheads are probably suitable for toe wall extensions.







Figure 6.2-2 is an underwater photograph of the bulkhead at Berth 22. Although this particular condition of the holes in the bulkhead was caused by mechanical friction between the fendering and the bulkhead, similarly deteriorated conditions of the steel bulkhead wall are prevalent between Berths 1 and 29. The condition shown in Figure 6.2-1 has since been repaired. When the other bulkheads are being repaired, Best Management Practices will be used to limit any construction impacts on the environment.





Figure 6.2-3 identifies the bulkheads at Berths 1 through Berth 29 that are subject to bulkhead replacement due to deterioration by 2026, as indicated by the red line.





The red line on Figure 6.2-3 identifies the bulkheads that will require replacement prior to 2026; the blue line indicates those that will require a new bulkhead alignment, as proposed in the Master Plan Vision Plans.

At the Northport slips, namely Slips 1, 2 and 3, the Master Plan Vision Plans reconfigure the bulkhead alignments to allow larger ships to berth, utilizing a slip geometry developed from current design standards and in cooperation with the Port Everglades pilots. The new slip configuration, which will require reconstruction of the existing bulkheads due to their deterioration, adds value and sustainability to a major mandatory infrastructure improvement.

Figure 6.2-3



A major goal of the Master Plan is to increase berth capacity and, where possible, berth length and utilization flexibility. Reconfiguration and additions to berths and their associated bulkheads are vital infrastructure upgrades that are needed. The Master Plan, in examining berth changes, needed to incorporate three objectives:

- Bulkhead configuration identified by the Master Plan Vision Plans to meet market demand at the 5-, 10-, and 20- year milestones.
- Replacement of the existing bulkheads due to their deterioration.
- Deepening of the bulkheads required by the ACOE *Feasibility Study/Environmental Impact Statement*.

The Master Plan places these bulkhead upgrades into a phased schedule through the 20-year planning horizon. The phased implementation of the bulkhead reconstruction, as shown in Figure 6.2-5, reflects the need for berths to meet the projected market demand along with a total development program cost that needs to be achievable.



6.3 ACOE Dredging and Widening Program

The ACOE's *Feasibility Study/Environmental Impact Statement* for the Port is being prepared concurrently with the Port's Master Plan. Three components that need to be integrated into one development program are:

- Master Plan projects.
- Bulkhead replacement.
- Dredging and widening projects.

Table 6.3-1 shows a schedule of the proposed dredging and widening projects in the ACOE's program and when these projects could be incorporated into the Port's development program.

			ments w/ ACOE Dredg
Master Plan Milesto	ones	ACC	E Dredging Program
5-Year CIP	2008		
0000 to 0010	2009		
2008 to 2012	2010		
	2011		
		_	TN
10-Year Vision	2013	_	Widener
2013 to 2016	2014	_	OEC, IEC MTB, Petro Barge Slip
	2016	_	ICW
	2017		
20-Year Vision	2018		16-18 Berths
2017 to 2026	2019		Petro Slips
	2020		DCC
	2021		
	2022		
	2023		
	2024		
	2025		
	2026		



The interconnection between the ACOE dredging program and the Master Plan program is summarized below.

ACOE and Master Plan Projects in the 5-Year Vision Plan (Years 2008 to 2012)

Turning Notch Phase I (Extend west by an additional 548' / 49' + 1' + 1')

ACOE and Master Plan Projects in the 10-Year Vision Plan (Years 2013 to 2016)

- Outer Entrance Channel (54' + 1' + 1')
- Inner Channel (49' + 1' + 1')
- Main Turning Basin (49' + 1' + 1')
- ICW area South Access Channel (49' + 1' + 1')
- Turning Notch Phase 2 (Extend west by an additional 1007' / 49' + 1' + 1')
- Berth 28 Wharf
- Berth 29 Extension and FPL Outfall Reconfiguration
- Widener
- Inner Harbor; Berths 19-22 (42' + 1' + 1')
- Petroleum Barge Slip
- Slip 2 Expansion

ACOE and Master Plan Projects in the 20-Year Vision Plan (Years 2017 to 2026)

- Berths 1-3 Bulkhead replacement
- Slips 1 and 3 Expansion
- Area at Berths 16-18 (44' + 1' + 1')
- Berths 31 and 32 Toe walls
- Berth 33A Reconfiguration
- Dania Cut-Off Canal RO/RO Berths (32' + 1' + 1")

For reference, see the ACOE map in Element 3 (Figure 3.8-2).

6.4 Circulation and Traffic Considerations

Circulation and traffic improvements play an important part in the Master Plan. These improvements affect the diverse vehicular traffic accessing the Port to serve both the Port's cruise operations and its cargo operations.

6.4.1 Cruise Passenger Intermodal Center

The 10-Year Vision Plan recommends the development of a cruise passenger intermodal center at Midport. The center, comprising an integrated passenger intermodal zone, or ground transportation area at grade, would provide a central location for the loading/unloading of buses, shuttles, and taxis. Above the ground transportation area would be structured parking for privately owned vehicles. The intermodal center would eliminate buses traveling farther east on Eller Drive to alleviate traffic congestion in front of the cruise terminals on the Midport peninsular. Baggage trucks from Fort Lauderdale and Hollywood International Airport (FLL) would both deliver and pick-up baggage directly at each cruise terminal. Provision trucks would also access the wharfs directly for each cruise terminal.

Vehicle and passenger circulation at the Midport cruise passenger intermodal center is illustrated in Figures 6.4-1 through 6.4-7 and discussed at each figure.

The 10-Year Vision Plan also recommends the development of a cruise passenger intermodal center at the proposed parking structure, west of Cruise Terminal 4 in Northport. The Northport intermodal center would serve Cruise Terminals 2 and 4.

Concurrently with this Master Plan, Port Everglades and FLL, in conjunction with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) are jointly conducting a Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Study for the Broward County Intermodal Center (IMC) and People Mover system. The PD&E, at present, has identified and evaluated several Port corridors and has identified station locations at Port Everglades (see the Phase II PD&E Corridor Report in the Appendix). The two proposed stations for a future people mover coincide with both the Midport and Northport cruise passenger intermodal centers. The People Mover station at Northport will serve the Broward County Convention Center as well as Cruise Terminals 2 and 4.



Bus Circulation. Buses from the Airport would exit Eller Drive immediately upon turning onto 19th Avenue. This movement would reduce traffic going further east on Eller Drive, eliminating the buses passing in front of Cruise Terminals 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, and 27. Exiting buses returning to the Airport also would not pass in front of the same cruise terminals. Buses would unload passengers at a dedicated passenger island so that the buses face in the direction of the passengers' intended destination, the Passenger Skyway. Passengers would not have to cross any roadways and would have direct access to escalators, elevators, and stairs on their way to the Passenger Skyway. Intuitive way-finding is the key in moving people.



Privately Owned Vehicle Embarkation Circulation. Privately owned vehicles (POVs) may directly enter the structured parking facility. Once their vehicle is parked, passengers may proceed to their cruise terminal by way of the elevated Passenger Skyway. Baggage in POVs may be dropped off at each cruise terminal or at a POV covered unloading curb at the center, at grade south of Cruise Terminal 19. If dropped off at the center, the baggage would be transported to each terminal by truck.





Privately Owned Vehicle Debarkation Circulation. Passengers parking their POVs in the Cruise Passenger Intermodal Center may pick-up their baggage within the center's structure parking facility; the baggage would be brought to the center by truck from each terminal. Passengers would also have the option of picking up their baggage in front of each terminal and then exiting the Port.





Taxi Embarkation Circulation. Taxis may drop-off their passengers at each cruise terminal and then exit the Port or return to a taxi-queuing lane. Two taxi queuing lanes will be established: one along the widened Eller Drive and the second along the roadway to the south of Cruise Terminal 19. Taxis at the queuing lanes will await a radio dispatch to a terminal to pick-up their next passengers.



Taxi Debarkation Circulation. Taxis may pick-up their passengers at each cruise terminal and then exit the Port.



Parking Structures. The structured parking facility in the cruise passenger intermodal center will have a footprint and height ability to park 4,000 plus vehicles. It is envisioned that both an ingress ramp helix and an egress ramp helix will best support the large volume of vehicles entering and exiting in short periods.



<u>**Cruise Passenger Circulation**</u>. Cruise passengers arriving at the cruise passenger intermodal center will move between the center and their respective cruise terminals via the Passenger Skyway. The Passenger Skyway will be a series of connected air-conditioned concourses that are elevated above grade with moving walkways for passenger transportation.



6.4.2 Master Plan Impacts.

The impacts of Plan implementation will be quantified in Phase III, when the existing Deepwater Port Component of the Coastal Management Element and Port-related goals, objectives, and policies in the Transportation Element in Broward County's Comprehensive Plan are updated to incorporate the Port's new Master Plan. As required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, the Consultant Team will address the vehicular traffic, water, wastewater, power, and environmental impacts of the Port's 5- and 10-year maintenance and expansion program.

Summarized below in general terms are some of the traffic and environmental improvements that will mitigate potential impacts of the development program.

<u>Traffic Improvements Resulting from Plan Implementation</u>. The following traffic and circulation improvements will result from Plan implementation

- Carving out the Convention Center from the Port's secured area will eliminate the existing traffic that flows to and from the Broward County Convention Center.
- Removing the existing security gate on Eisenhower Drive will eliminate non-Port traffic from queuing at that gate.
- Constructing the By-Pass Road will mitigate traffic congestion on U.S. 1, between Spangler Boulevard and 17th Street and on 17th Street between U.S. 1 and Eisenhower Boulevard.
- Alleviation of peak cruise traffic congestion by routing buses, taxis, and privately owned vehicles (POVs) to/from Cruise Terminal 2 over the By-Pass Road rather than through the Port's security gates.
- Developing the crushed rock aggregate facility will be the Phase I for the implementation
 of the ICTF. Since the rock will leave the Port by rail, additional truck trips from the
 import of this commodity will not be generated. The facility will transport 4 million tons of
 crushed rock by rail, rather than using the 200,000 trucks that would otherwise be
 needed. The use of rail, therefore, will eliminate 400,000 truck trips to/from the Port and
 the regional roadway system.
- Further developing the ICTF for transferring containers to rail in lieu of truck will eliminate 171,500 annual truck trips at full operational use.
- Encouraging empty containers to be stored off site will reduce truck traffic and truck emissions. For every container stored off-site, two truck trips are eliminated. If 50,000 empties were stored off the Port, 100,000 truck trips to/from the Port would not be needed.
- Building an intermodal bridge over the FPL Discharge Canal to connect the Midport and Southport cargo areas will reduce traffic through the Eller Drive gate.
- Locating Customs and Border Protection inside the secured Port area will reduce traffic through the Eller Drive gate.





- Adding a new parallel road and cruise passenger intermodal center south of Cruise Terminal 19 will reduce taxi and POV traffic on East Eller Drive and eliminate bus traffic from that roadway segment.
- Entering buses into a centralized intermodal facility at 19th Avenue, west of East Eller Drive, will reduce traffic on that roadway segment.
- Reconfiguring the McIntosh Road alignment and road section with separate queue lanes with only right-hand turns into each container terminal will alleviate congestion on that critical road.

Environmental Improvements Resulting from Plan Implementation. In addition to mitigating potential environmental impacts, the Master Plan projects encourage environmental improvements due to the nature of the respective projects. Examples are:

- Importing crushed rock aggregate will reduce the existing environmental issues with the present quarries in Florida. The new facility at Port Everglades will be enclosed for dust containment and not generate any air pollutants from the rock.
- Relocating the dry stack boat storage facility from its existing site on the FPL Discharge Canal to the Dania Cut-Off Canal will eliminate the 400 boats that enter the warm waters of the Discharge Canal to access the facility. The elimination of this boat traffic will help safeguard the manatees and their young who frequent these waters and the manatee nursery in this portion of the Canal.
- Expanding the three slips at Northport and reducing the widths of the existing Piers 1 and 2, will remove the majority of the petroleum contamination currently contained within the Pier bulkheads. Any remaining product will be contained within new bulkheads with greater lifespan and durability.
- Widening the navigation channels with environmentally friendly bulkheads, that is, bulkheads that do not penetrate the water surface, wherever possible, will allow tidal flows to be maintained at the shoreline and critical habitat areas.
- Reducing traffic congestion and trip generation, as described in the preceding narrative, will reduce air emissions throughout the Port and the region.

In addition to the above environmental improvements resulting from Master Plan projects, the Port is developing a "Green Port" Program that addresses air quality, water quality, wildlife, soil protection, waste reduction and elimination, and recycling.

6.5 Plan Costs and Funding

6.5.1 <u>5-Year Capital Improvement Plan</u>

The 5-Year Plan identified the infrastructure needed at Port Everglades to meet the 5-year projected market demand and the locations of the respective infrastructure components. This infrastructure has been further defined into specific construction projects with project costs and the year each project is needed. The project costs for design/inspection services and



construction have then been scheduled for one of the five fiscal years -- 2008 through 2012 -- in the CIP.

The 5-Year CIP lists the project costs into four sections; namely:

- 01 General Infrastructure.
- 02 Master Plan Projects.
- 03 ACOE Dredging Project.
- 04 Other Port Capital Improvements (Maintenance, Renewal, and Replacement)

The 01 General Infrastructure and 04 Other Port Capital Improvements (Maintenance, Renewal, and Replacement) sections consists of limited scope projects that are of a maintenance and infrastructure renewal nature. The 02 Master Plan Projects are projects that have been identified by this master planning program and are needed to meet the projected market demands. The 03 ACOE Dredging Project consists of projects that will result from the ACOE Dredging and Widening Study, currently in progress, and will consist of both the Federal share and non-Federal share costs.

Over the five-year period, the project costs in each of the four sections are:

01 General Infrastructure	\$23,855,000
02 Master Plan Projects	\$292,156,000
03 ACOE Dredging Project	\$46,726,000
04 Other Port Capital Improvements	\$55,813,000



6-19

The total CIP cost over the five fiscal years is \$418,550,000, as summarized in Table 6.5-1.

) s	Years 2006 to 2012				Cast is million)			
	<u>tios</u>	2009	2010	2014 (2012	Terf		
Several Infratructure Improvisionalis	. 1 1.555	4,809	4. * 6.1	4.58 0	2.866	29. BSI		
Needer Pron Projects	. 71.243	TERTE	11.5CF	78.47 I	\$5.840)	282 (M		
ACCE Escalging Presiden		C.754		20.000	(astra	48.777		
Silver Port Capital Improvements. Sectores, Recentard Reviewements		12,828		1.331	¥280	æ:it		

The CIP also identifies the funding sources of the projects. The four funding sources are:

- Internal Revenue; identified by (I).
- Grants; identified by (G).
- Private Investment; identified by (P).
- Potential Debt; identified by (U).

Internal Revenue (I) consists of net revenue from existing Port operations, plus net revenue from Port operations as a result of new projects constructed in the five-year period, plus cost recovery charges paid to the Port, plus reallocated funds from previous projects, less debt service charges.

Grants (G) consist of those grants that have not been expended from past years and grants that have been secured for expenditure within the five-year period.

Private Investment (P) consists of the estimated participation in the cost of infrastructure improvements that will be paid to the Port from tenants /stakeholders. This cost has been added to the CIP since these private investment projects add value to the Port's infrastructure base and become a base for the Port to derive net revenue.

Potential Debt (U) is the amount of the CIP that is currently unfunded and may be available through potential debt service.

The projected amounts, over the five-year period, for each of the four funding sources are:

Internal Revenue (I)	\$182,392,000
Grants (G)	\$50,350,000
Private Investment (P)	\$72,912,000
Potential Debt (U)	\$112,896,000
The total amount, over the five fiscal years, is:	\$418,550,000

Table 6.5-2 illustrates these potential five-year CIP funding sources.



Table 6.5-3 shows the proposed 5-Year CIP for FY 2008 through FY 2012. Table 6.5-4 shows the funding source for each project over the 5 years.

		Table 6.5-3 5-Year CIP						
		(\$ millions)	Ĩ		<u> </u>		Í	<u>с</u>
2994.) 329 JUN		RET TEN	<u>in a</u>	<u>. 1748</u>		SP(1	er an	TOTAL SALARS SALARS
	formation and an interaction of the second sec	8			, ,	بر ا	ļ	<u> </u>
<u> (1988)</u> 	ที่สุดทางได้ได้สุดที่สามครอง ไม่สิตาวไม่มี และสุดตรงสา	1055Ko	-	<u>0.788.0</u>		Land	iku –	Q48
		×*	_ <u>[</u>	 	<u> 2007</u>	<u>(%488)</u>	672253	
					į — ,		ļ—	
	TANDER WATER AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.		1.25%					1.3
	Ended in Reacting Stream is a spin at 5 Pyperod Ended in State and Ended in State Ended in State and State			7.131	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Ì							[
) – <u>Šerinė Pače incorrecie</u> 8 – Sereturie diratykyramatu			5.885785		1 	2 	2
				22532				<u> </u>
			_]] 	4673	(<u>1999</u> 00)) 1 8 30638	- - R.M.K	-
								(
		20 American States	1					k – –
<u>891 (</u>	િંગ્ને સરકારો છે.]		}	8.11
							ļ	[
<u>_</u>				332.C.			<u> </u>	
	- Patro Arrizido Vilizantia						<u> </u>	24
3683 - 268								
					Traditional and the second	 20000	165.0 0 0	1 182 1 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 19
anna 2 anna								
10000 (2000) 	l.		 					(進務) (
								244
<u> 1997</u>	i (niježen bioterský sta		 3			ļ <u> </u> į		Î 🐼
2007 <u>-</u> 2007			i j			ļ		
					27.502			
							[<u>[</u>
<u>18688 (</u> 8888	⁶¹² (Shariyyar Sealinnerski gerear Fland					<u>نط</u> ز	<u>į </u>	ļ
				tens		<u> </u>		
<u> </u> 76721 62 5							 	<u>[</u>
						<u>ا</u>	Į —	
					্ অঞ্জেয়ে	<u>j </u>		[<u> </u>
<u>*****]</u> 3782	*******			12832		[]	ļ	[13.33 [
<u>rej m</u>		TEN W		- 100				i an
264250 II 284	n I STUDIO I STANDARD STANDARD STANDARD I STANDARD		_ i ț		<u>ب</u>			й [[Хести
	ť				<u> </u>	1 1		(<u> </u>
	STORAGE STOR	ā —)) 1:1288		[1.81
	i internet Mainte ingesticièneme						N.S.C.	
<u></u>				P			[184
12010) S.C.								
	and a star and the star						[
							į —	
	ž Atheridary Darf			REA	\longrightarrow	·苏硕紫		[[8.8
					Ì	Ĩ <u> </u>	1 	î î\$\$
<u></u>								[
-36361 IL -36368	E PARENTER FRANKER		JU I		t .	2006 (T. S.		



6-22

		Table 6.5-3 Continued 5-Year CIP						
Î					Ĩ		: n	
715978 JP	990 (#44) 		100 BB	, XPAR		<u>. </u>	<u>289-188</u>	78708 50030 30031
XIR .		<u>TERRETATION DE CENTRE E CONTRACTOR CONTRACTÓR E CONTRACTÓR DE C</u>	1.536		2225	 	- ACIES	
48992		an a		i besete	30.55766	173224		
			7,55		. 11246	1999	- 1.625	ji.e
0		A STATE OF THE AND A STATE OF TH	1.46	328	10.55	<u>i 1698</u>		1944 1944
		ATTERATION RANDOM TRANSPORT		194320				8,55
- ANDE		Sanahan Cingara Antaran Sanahan	<u>alee</u>	- 10.260	2.884		機能能	
-0025	2000241		Kie		1. D.S.	La sub		
╡		Anna Alain dha anna anna anna anna anna anna anna				ļ	ļ	
		Received Alexandro States Texandro Constagnistica States annual			142	2000		
\$\$ \$		lindikaradir Universitations			22200	11.72.72	Merica	
		President Constanting and Constant	11.194 54.185					
		Pagnat technological an						
1 6384			1255		\$1.5PD	1944692 		PAST.
£352				_7000	<u> XON</u>	<u> </u>		
		nen herrier herrier en ser herrier e Die ser herrier en se	1.3%					14 863
		Denindra Berning, Kanar dan Danar (gaban dan bir) Manar Manar (gaban dan bir) Manar Manar (gaban dan bir)	0.00 					0.12 54 74
<u>878</u>		Bandya Tura Tura Karyi Egili delar beraran ana	225					
<u> </u>			1.40%. N 2.530	n	- 1.9 00	1.490	446	
		an a	2.80 6.33					
—Į		The second s		*****				
21735		and a state of the second and a second second and a second s						
					Ì	12.395	- 192 - 192 - 192	
		A A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR		i i				
		Experience of a constraint and a constraint of						
								<u>43</u>
		Reformation in Part Administration Building Reception Area Exterior Improvements to Fubic Safety Building	0.050					0.0
		Replace Defective Condensers & Dampers at the Port Administration Building Replace Fire Sprinkler Pipes at the Midport Parking Garage	0.300					0.00
		TOTAL CAPITAL BUDGET	100.169	103.155	47.563			418.5
				I	.ess Pri	ivate Inv	s Grants restment ess Debt	the second s

			Table 6.5- 5-Year CIP Project Fur (\$ millions)	nding Sou	rces				
PROJ ID	UNIT NO.	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	INTERNAL FUNDS (I)	GRANTS (G)	PRIVATE INVESTMENT/ OTHER (P)	POTENTIAL DEBT (U)	TOTAL 5-YEAR PROJECT COST	TOTAL 5-YEAR COST PER SECTION
		GENERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES 0							
1001	6510	Port Infrastructure Improvements Annual Miscellaneous Infrastructure Improve	ments	2.500				2.500	23.855
	2	Annual Utility Infrastructure Improvements Cathodic Protection for Berths 16-18 & Berth		2.500				2.500 1.300	
	l i	High-Wind Bollards (10)		3.800	3.350			7.150	
	6 1	Metal Bullding for Spreader Repair Shop (50 Portwide Informational Signage - Phase II		0.180				0,180 1.000	2
-	c	Portwide Sanitary Sewer Analysis & Upgradi Replace Water Mains at Berths 5-16	s/Repairs & Telemetry	0.125				0.125	4. 20
		Resurface Berths 16, 17, 18, 21, & 19 (east	of terminal)	0.600				0.600	
1002	6550	Fender & Mooring Improvements Annual Fender & Mooring Improvements		2.500				2.500	
1003	6530	Port Capital Maintenance Annual Capital Maintenance		5.000				5.000	
	<u>(</u>	MASTER PLAN PROJECTS 02 Midport improvements							292.156
2001	6549	Cruise Terminal No. 18 Redevelopment/Fina	l Expansion					1 895	G (G
		Phase I Phase II		2.450	2.450			4.900	8
		Design Construction		1.500	- 		32.500	1.500 32.500	
2002	6549	Cruise Terminal No. 19 Expansion		6.700	S			6.700	с. -
2003	6549	Cruise Terminal Nos. 21/22 Expansion		2.000				2.000	G
		Design Construction		20.000	с. 			2.000	6
2004	6549	Demolition of Transit Shed 1@Reconfiguration	n of Buikling No. 16	0.800	-			0.800	с.
2005	6516	FPL Discharge Canal Bridge		4.397	2.803			7.200	6
2006	6735	Midport Crane Replacement/Upgrades		5.000	1.050			6.050	
2007	6354	Midport Parking Garage Design		1.400				1.400	-
		Construction		. 1.400	-		26.300	26.300	
2008	6510	Midport Roadway Expansion		1.150	1.150			2.300	-
2009	6830**	Northport Improvements Spangler Boulevard Bypass Road Design		0.950	2 	0.950		1.900	
		Construction		0.000	e	0.550	17.100	17.100	
2010	6549	Cruise Terminal No. 2 Renovations Design		0.150				0.150	
		Construction		1.500				1.500	
2011	6549	Cruise Terminal No. 4 Redevelopment/Expa	nsion	13.000	c			13.000	-
2012	6515	Relocation of Public Works/Port Maintenance	o fo FTZ	2.000	0			2.000	
2013	New Unit	Southport Improvements Aggregate Terminal & Rail Yard Facility		с		55.000		55.000	-
2014	New Unit	Southport Turning Notch Expansion - Phase Design	1	0	r		1.730	1.730	
		Construction Mitigation for Conservation Easement		20.000			17.300	17.300 20.000	
		Miligation for Weatlake Improvementa					0.000	3.900	
2015	6517	Foreign Trade Zone/Warehouse (FTZ) RFP				10.300		10.300	
2016	8100	ICTF Facility - Phase I Initial Southport Rail Spur		0.675		3.929		4.604	
		Design Construction		0.213	0.213	2.733		0.426	
2017	6883	McIntosh Loop Road		3.265	3.265	2.1.50		6.530	
2018	8105	Southport Phase VIII		7.750	7.750			15.500	
3001	6790	ACOE DREDGING PROJECT 03		11.774	24.986		9.966	46.726	46.726

6-24

12

		Table 6.5-4 5-Year CIP Project			;			
PROJ ID	UNIT NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	INTERNAL FUNDS (I)	GRANTS (G)	PRIVATE INVESTMENT/ OTHER (P)	POTENTIAL DEBT (U)	TOTAL 5-YEAR PROJECT COST	TOTAL 5-YEAR COST PER SECTION
		OTHER PORT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (Maintenance, Renewal & Replacement) 04		-	(55.81
4001	6563	CAPITALIZED INTEREST	1,500				1,500	
4002	6565	Consulting Architectural/Engineering Services General Architectural/Engineering Services	1.500				1.500	
		· · ·						
4003	6526	Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Annual Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment	7.405				7.485	
4004	6735	Cranes Improvements/Replacement	-					
		Annual Crane Painting Bromma 20/40/45 Twin-Lift Spreader	5 000 0.150				5.000	
		Bromma 20/40/45' Twin-LiR Spreaders for New Midport Cranes (2)	0.300				0.300	
4005	6821	Crane Parts and Support						
_		Annual Crane Parts & Support	1.500				1.500	
4006	6832	Passenger Loading Bridges improvements/Replacement	0.700				0.700	
		Annual Spare Parts for FMT Loading Bridges New PLC for GE 90/30 Loading Bridges 2, 18, 21 & 29	0.050				0.050	
		Terminal 2 Loading Bridges (New) Terminal 4 Second Loading Bridge	1.400				1.400	
		Terminal 21 Loading Bridge (Replacement)	1.400				1.400	
4007	6564	Port Information Technology Systems						
		Hardware PC & Laptop Replacements	1.200				1.200	
		Wireless Expansion to Remote Sites Software	0.045				0.045	
		Payroll Timekeeping System	0.150				0.150	
4008	6563	IN-HOUSE LABOR & OVERHEAD	4.200				4.200	
4009	6822	Port Security Improvements						
ĵ	<u>ن</u>		1	1		j '		r
î						<u>1</u>		
			<u> </u>	1 1 1953 1		1		
						ţ <u> </u>		
			<u> 888</u>	i		1		<u> </u>
				ļ		<u> </u>		
- 	ai si ai		1	įi		1		
rest.			1—36	i i	`	í		¢
			1 2333					×
Ţ			11 23.556					[<u> </u>
								}
Cattorname	- North Te		20058					<u></u>
				ļ		<u> </u>		
]					-			Ç
ĵ						l		
}						ļ	ľ	Ì
î							2004 007 007	<u> </u>
		Port Buildings Roofing System Repairs & Improvements Renovation of Linehandlers' Building, Including Restroom	5.800 0.250				5.800	
		Renovations to Port Administration Building Reception Area	0.085				0.085	
		Exterior Improvements to Public Safety Building Replace Defective Condensers & Dampers at the Port Administration Building	0.050		;;		0.050	
		Replace Fire Sprinkler Pipes at the Midport Parking Garage	0.060				0.060	
	1 1		400.000	50.070	70.011	440.000	110.775	
		TOTAL CAPITAL BUDGET	182.392	50.350		ess Grants (G)	(50.350)	418.55
					Less Private	Investment (P) Less Debt (U)		
-	2 2				Total Inter	nal Funding (I)		

6.5.2 10-Year Vision Development Plan

Table 6.5-5	shows	order-of-magnitude	project	costs	in	the	10-Year	Vision	Development
Program.									

Table	6.5-5	
	Contra Cont	i (\$ millioni
General IntractionSure Improvem	erts	<u>A</u>
Nosfe: Flan Projecto		\$\$\$\$T
AGOE Cradying Pranson ———		,代约
•		
n provincia dallara	TTIL	
	10-Year Vision ID Years 3 General Intrastructure Improvem Number Plan Projects AGOE Dealging Program Other Port Capital Improvements (Maintenerar, Renaudiand Regi	General Infrastructure Improvements Noster Plan Projects AGOE Dealighty Program Other Port Gaptal Improvements (Nationaries, Renewsland Registerized)



6.5.3 20-Year Vision Development Plan

Table 6.5-6 shows order-of-magnitude project costs in the 20-Year Vision Development Program.

Table 6.5-6	
20-Year Vision Development Year 2217 is 2020	Perspectro
	ciensé (5 millices)
— Čensel intrežesture impressente	
- Massa Plan Archesta	
— ACTIE Designing Program	100
— Siher Furl Capital Inguiscements	<u>245</u>
(Mainleannes, Richard and Replacement)	
In present-day distant	TSTAL SERV

6.5.4 Order-of-Magnitude Project Costs

Order-of-magnitude cost estimates were prepared for projects in the 5- Year Plan and the 10-Year and 20- Year Vision Plans. The cost estimates for projects in the 5-Year Plan, which have been incorporated in the 5-Year CIP have a 3 percent per year escalation factor beyond the first fiscal year (FY 2008). Project costs include design/inspection services, construction costs and environmental mitigation costs as appropriate. Construction costs for projects in the 10- and 20-Year Vision Plans use present-day dollars in the order-of-magnitude cost estimates to avoid discrepancies in projected escalation factors.

Estimated costs for projects in the ACOE's Dredging and Widening Program, which is still under study in the ACOE's *Feasibility Study/Environmental Impact Statement* are also included in the cost of the development programs. The ACOE submitted preliminary estimated costs, including both the federal share and non-federal share, to the Port in 2004. These estimates, which the ACOE is updating, are shown in Table 6.5-7.





Table 6.5-7
Dredging Program Cost Allocations
(\$ millions)

	Fed share	Non-Federal Share	Total
Contract 1 DCC			
GNF	30.919	36.447	67.366
Associated		35.966	35.966
LERR	0.030	16.862	16.892
Environmental Mitigation	3.779	2.036	5.815
Aids to Navigation	0.125		0.125
Total Contract 1	34.853	91.311	126.164
Contract 2 Widener			
GNF	20.878	31.734	52.612
Associated	0.000	13.365	13.365
LERR			
Environmental Mitigation	1.019	1.019	2.038
Aids to Navigation	0.040	0.000	0.040
Total Contract 2	21.937	46.118	68.055
Contract 3 TN			
GNF	1.414	8.934	10.348
Associated	0.000	23.143	23.143
LERR			
Environmental Mitigation	0.182	0.182	0.364
Aids to Navigation			
Total Contract 3	1.596	32.259	33.855
Contract 4 SAC			
GNF	10.787	12.349	23.136
Associated	11.324	15.679	27.003
LERR			
Environmental Mitigation	0.799	0.799	1.598
Aids to Navigation			- /
Total Contract 4	22.910	28.827	51.737
Total Project	81.296	198.515	279.811
GNF Total	63.998	89.464	153.462
Associated Total	11.324	88.153	99.477
LERR Total	0.030	16.862	16.892
Environmental Total	5.779	4.036	9.815
Aids Total	0.165	0.000	0.165
Check Project Total	81.296	198.515	279.811

According to the ACOE, these estimates include the cost of the toe walls necessary to lengthen the bulkheads to the proposed dredged depth. As noted previously, however, the Port received an engineering memorandum in July 2007, recommending replacement of the bulkheads that are more than 40 years of age. In other words, bulkheads at Berths 1 through 29 need to be



6-28

replaced by 2026 or prior to the onset of the dredging at the specific bulkhead. In calculating the cost to replace the bulkheads and still incorporate the ACOE's estimate, the Consultant Team used the costs identified by the ACOE in Table 6.5-7 with an escalation factor to FY 2008, less the costs for general navigation features (GNF). GNF is generally for piers and other marine structures. The Consultant Team then estimated the cost of bulkhead replacement including fendering, bollards, apron pavement, water/sewage utilities, and petroleum piping where appropriate. The Consultant Team used the estimated costs for the new bulkhead configurations in lieu of the cost of replacing bulkheads in their existing alignment, if the Vision Plans showed a re-alignment of these bulkheads.

6.5.5 Conclusion

It is anticipated that the 20-Year Vision Development Program, at full build-out over the 20-year planning horizon, if warranted by market demand, will have an order-of-magnitude cost of approximately \$2 billion. The Vision Plans are, however, the road maps laid out to achieve the market demand projected at the time this Master Plan was prepared. The global marketplace and the maritime community's competitive response to that marketplace are constantly evolving. Thus, this Plan is presented as a flexible document, requiring periodic re-examination and re-evaluation of the parameters that affect the development of Port Everglades. Future projects need to provide the infrastructure necessary to serve the re-evaluated market assessment and Go-No-Go decisions should be made through a strategic decision-making process to achieve the economic goals of Broward County and its dynamic Port.

